M.E.S. COLLEGE OF ARTS AND COMMERCE, ZUARINAGAR-GOA

ANALYSIS OF STUDENTS FEEDBACK ABOUT FACULTY:

BBA (SHIPPING & LOGISTICS)

Academic year: 2019-20

Introduction to Shipping Industry – Siddhi Salgaonkar

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	3	1	1	0	5
Percentage	60	20	20	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to draw participation	2	2	1	0	5
Percentage	40	40	20	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering queries of students	1	2	2	0	5
Percentage	20	40	40	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	1	2	2	0	5
Percentage	20	40	40	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to student level	1	2	2	0	5
Percentage	20	40	40	0	100



Management Process – Dr. Kirti Tyagi

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	3	1	1	0	5
Percentage	60	20	20	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to draw participation	1	3	1	0	5
Percentage	20	60	20	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering queries of students	2	3	0	0	5
Percentage	40	60	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	3	1	1	0	5
Percentage	60	20	20	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to student level	2	2	0	1	21
Percentage	40	40	0	20	100



Managerial Economics – Pretty Pereira

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	2	2	1	0	5

Percentage	40	40	20	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to draw participation	3	0	2	0	5
Percentage	60	0	40	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering queries of students	3	2	0	0	5
Percentage	60	40	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	4	1	0	0	5
Percentage	80	20	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to student level	4	1	0	0	5
Percentage	80	20	0	0	100



IT Skills – BS More

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	3	2	0	0	5
Percentage	60	40	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to draw participation	3	2	0	0	5
Percentage	60	40	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering queries of students	3	2	0	0	5
Percentage	60	40	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	3	2	0	0	5
Percentage	60	40	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to student level	4	1	0	0	5
Percentage	80	20	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total

Subject Knowledge	3	2	0	0	5
Percentage	60	40	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to draw participation	3	1	1	0	5
Percentage	60	20	20	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering queries of students	4	1	0	0	5

Percentage	80	20	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	3	1	1	0	5
Percentage	60	20	20	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to student level	3	2	0	0	5

Percentage	60	40	0	0	100



Oral Communication Skills – Maria Fernandes

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	4	1	0	0	5
Percentage	80	20	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total

Ability to draw participation	4	1	0	0	5
Percentage	80	20	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering queries of students	3	2	0	0	5
Percentage	60	40	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with	4	1	0	0	5

students					
Percentage	80	20	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to student level	3	2	0	0	5
Percentage	60	40	0	0	100



Cultural Heritage of Goa – 1 – Godeliva Gomes

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	3	2	0	0	5
Percentage	60	40	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to draw participation	3	0	2	0	5
Percentage	60	0	40	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering	3	1	1	0	5

queries of students					
Percentage	60	20	20	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	2	2	1	0	5
Percentage	40	40	20	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come	3	1	1	0	5

down to student level					
Percentage	60	20	20	0	100



Geography of Sea Transport --- Ramchandra Nair

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	3	2	0	0	5
Percentage	60	40	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to draw participation	2	2	1	0	5
Percentage	40	40	20	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering queries of students	3	2	0	0	5
Percentage	60	40	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	3	2	0	0	5
Percentage	60	40	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to student level	4	0	1	0	5
Percentage	80	0	20	0	100



Organizational Behaviour – Dr. Kirti Tyagi

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	1	1	2	1	5
Percentage	20	20	40	20	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to draw participation	1	1	2	1	5
Percentage	20	20	40	20	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total

Answering queries of students	2	1	1	1	5
Percentage	40	20	20	20	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	1	1	2	1	5
Percentage	20	20	40	20	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total

Ability to come down to student level	1	1	2	1	5
Percentage	20	20	40	20	100



Environment Management 1 – Shraddha Rangnekar

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	4	1	0	0	5
Percentage	80	20	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to draw participation	2	2	1	0	5
Percentage	40	40	20	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering queries of students	3	2	0	0	5
Percentage	60	40	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	3	1	1	0	21
Percentage	60	20	20	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to student level	3	1	1	0	5
Percentage	60	20	20	0	100



Case Analysis – Motilal Pednekar

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	3	0	2	0	5
Percentage	60	0	40	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to draw participation	2	1	2	0	5
Percentage	40	20	40	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total

Answering queries of students	3	0	2	0	5
Percentage	60	0	40	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	3	0	2	0	5
Percentage	60	0	40	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total

Ability to come down to student level	2	1	2	0	5
Percentage	40	20	40	0	100



Written Communication – Maria Fernandes

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	3	2	0	0	5
Percentage	60	40	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to draw participation	2	3	0	0	5
Percentage	40	60	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering queries of students	2	3	0	0	5
Percentage	40	60	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	3	2	0	0	5
Percentage	60	40	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to student level	2	3	0	0	5
Percentage	40	60	0	0	100



Cultural Heritage of Goa – 2 – Godeliva Gomes

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	3	2	0	0	5
Percentage	60	40	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to draw participation	3	1	1	0	5
Percentage	60	20	20	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total

Answering queries of students	2	3	0	0	5
Percentage	40	60	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	3	2	0	0	5
Percentage	60	40	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total

Ability to come down to student level	2	2	1	0	5
Percentage	40	40	20	0	100



Logistics Management 1 – Sanjay Amonkar

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	4	1	0	0	5
Percentage	80	20	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to draw participation	3	0	1	1	5
Percentage	60	0	20	20	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering queries of students	2	1	2	0	5
Percentage	40	20	40	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	2	3	0	0	5
Percentage	40	60	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to student level	2	3	0	0	5
Percentage	40	60	0	0	100



Marketing Management 1 – Dr. Kirti Tyagi

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	2	1	1	1	5
Percentage	40	20	20	20	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to draw participation	1	2	1	1	5
Percentage	20	40	20	20	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total

Answering queries of students	1	2	1	1	5
Percentage	20	40	20	20	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	2	0	2	1	5
Percentage	40	0	40	20	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total

Ability to come down to student level	1	1	2	1	5
Percentage	20	20	40	20	100



Financial Statement Analysis – Ipshita Rajani

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	0	2	2	1	5
Percentage	0	40	40	20	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to draw participation	0	1	3	1	5
Percentage	0	20	60	20	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering queries of students	0	3	1	1	5
Percentage	0	60	20	20	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	1	2	2	0	5
Percentage	20	40	40	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to student level	2	1	2	0	5
Percentage	40	20	40	0	100



Environment Management 2 – Shraddha Rangnekar

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	4	1	0	0	5
Percentage	80	20	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to draw participation	4	0	1	0	5
Percentage	80	0	20	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total

Answering queries of students	3	1	1	0	5
Percentage	60	20	20	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	2	2	1	0	5
Percentage	40	40	20	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total

Ability to come down to student level	4	0	1	0	5
Percentage	80	0	20	0	100



Presentation Skills – Maria Fernandes

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	3	2	0	0	5
Percentage	60	40	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to draw participation	2	3	0	0	5
Percentage	40	60	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering queries of students	2	3	0	0	5
Percentage	40	60	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	3	2	0	0	5
Percentage	60	40	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to student level	2	2	1	0	5
Percentage	40	40	20	0	100



Time Management – Motilal Pednekar

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	3	1	1	0	5
Percentage	60	20	20	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to draw participation	3	0	2	0	5
Percentage	60	0	40	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total

Answering queries of students	2	2	1	0	5
Percentage	40	40	20	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	3	0	2	0	5
Percentage	60	0	40	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total

Ability to come down to student level	2	1	2	0	5
Percentage	40	20	40	0	100



Health and Nutrition – Vandana Kakodkar

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	2	3	0	0	5
Percentage	40	60	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to draw participation	1	3	1	0	5
Percentage	20	60	20	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering queries of students	2	2	1	0	5
Percentage	40	40	20	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	1	4	0	0	5
Percentage	20	80	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to student level	2	2	1	0	5
Percentage	40	40	20	0	100



Creative Writing – Maria Fernandes

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	3	2	0	0	5
Percentage	60	40	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to draw participation	2	3	0	0	5
Percentage	40	60	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total

Answering queries of students	2	3	0	0	5
Percentage	40	60	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	3	2	0	0	5
Percentage	60	40	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total

Ability to come down to student level	2	3	0	0	5
Percentage	40	60	0	0	100



Emotional Intelligence – Zina Varugis

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	4	5	2	0	11
Percentage	36	46	18	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to draw participation	3	6	2	0	11
Percentage	27	55	18	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering queries of students	4	3	2	0	9
Percentage	45	33	22	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	3	6	2	0	11
Percentage	27	55	18	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to student level	4	5	2	0	11
Percentage	36	46	18	0	100



Marine Insurance – Motilal Pednekar

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	9	1	1	0	11
Percentage	82	9	9	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to draw participation	9	1	1	0	11
Percentage	82	9	9	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total

Answering queries of students	9	1	1	0	11
Percentage	82	9	9	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	9	1	1	0	11
Percentage	82	9	9	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total

Ability to come down to student level	9	1	1	0	11
Percentage	82	9	9	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	5	1	1	4	11
Percentage	46	9	9	36	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to draw participation	4	0	2	5	11
Percentage	36	0	18	46	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering queries of students	4	0	2	5	11
Percentage	36	0	18	46	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	4	0	2	5	11
Percentage	36	0	18	46	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to student level	4	0	2	6	12
Percentage	33	0	17	50	100



Occupational Health and Safety Management – SK Naik

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	8	0	2	1	11
Percentage	73	0	18	9	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to draw participation	7	1	2	1	11
Percentage	64	9	18	9	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total

Answering queries of students	7	2	1	1	11
Percentage	64	18	9	9	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	7	1	2	1	11
Percentage	64	9	18	9	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total

Ability to come down to student level	7	2	1	1	11
Percentage	64	18	9	9	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	9	0	2	0	11
Percentage	82	0	18	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to draw participation	9	0	2	0	11
Percentage	82	0	18	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering queries of students	9	0	2	0	11
Percentage	82	0	18	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	9	0	2	0	11
Percentage	82	0	18	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to student level	9	0	2	0	11
Percentage	82	0	18	0	100



Retail Supply Chain Management – Ipshita Rajani

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	8	1	2	0	11
Percentage	73	9	18	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to draw participation	8	1	2	0	11
Percentage	73	9	18	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total

Answering queries of students	8	1	2	0	11
Percentage	73	9	18	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	8	1	2	0	11
Percentage	73	9	18	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total

Ability to come down to student level	8	1	2	0	11
Percentage	73	9	18	0	100



Customs Procedures and Practices – Motilal Pednekar

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	8	2	1	0	11
Percentage	73	18	9	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to draw participation	8	2	1	0	11
Percentage	73	18	9	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering queries of students	8	2	1	0	11
Percentage	73	18	9	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	8	2	1	0	11
Percentage	73	18	9	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to student level	8	2	1	0	11
Percentage	73	18	9	0	100



Rail and Road Cargo Logistics – Vishwajit Kurdekar

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	8	0	3	0	11
Percentage	73	0	27	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to draw participation	7	1	3	0	11
Percentage	64	9	27	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total

Answering queries of students	7	1	3	0	11
Percentage	64	9	27	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	7	1	3	0	11
Percentage	64	9	27	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total

Ability to come down to student level	7	1	3	0	11
Percentage	64	9	27	0	100



E Logistics – Sanjay Amonkar

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	11	0	0	0	11
Percentage	100	0	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to draw participation	11	0	0	0	11
Percentage	100	0	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering queries of students	11	0	0	0	11
Percentage	100	0	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	11	0	0	0	11
Percentage	100	0	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to student level	11	0	0	0	11
Percentage	100	0	0	0	100



Physical Health and Games – Akash Telgu

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	10	0	1	0	11
Percentage	91	0	9	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to draw participation	9	1	1	0	11
Percentage	82	9	9	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total

Answering queries of students	9	1	1	0	11
Percentage	82	9	9	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	9	1	1	0	11
Percentage	82	9	9	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total

Ability to come down to student level	9	1	1	0	11
Percentage	82	9	9	0	100



Critical Thinking – Kirti Tyagi

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	6	2	1	2	11
Percentage	55	18	9	18	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to draw participation	2	5	2	2	11
Percentage	18	46	18	18	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering queries of students	2	5	2	2	11
Percentage	18	46	18	18	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	4	2	1	4	11
Percentage	37	18	9	36	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to student level	4	3	1	3	11
Percentage	37	27	9	27	100



Mock Interview by Executives – Madhumita Mahatme

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	9	1	0	1	11
Percentage	82	9	0	9	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to draw participation	9	1	0	1	11
Percentage	82	9	0	9	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total

Answering queries of students	9	1	0	1	11
Percentage	82	9	0	9	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	9	1	0	1	11
Percentage	82	9	0	9	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total

Ability to come down to student level	9	1	0	1	11
Percentage	82	9	0	9	100



Packaging Management – Sanjay Amonkar

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	10	1	0	0	11
Percentage	91	9	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to draw participation	10	1	0	0	11
Percentage	91	9	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering queries of students	10	1	0	0	11
Percentage	91	9	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	10	1	0	0	11
Percentage	91	9	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to student level	10	1	0	0	11
Percentage	91	9	0	0	100



IT Skills 2 – BS Morje

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	10	0	1	0	11
Percentage	91	0	9	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to draw participation	10	0	1	0	11
Percentage	91	0	9	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total

Answering queries of students	10	0	1	0	11
Percentage	91	0	9	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	10	0	1	0	11
Percentage	91	0	9	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total

Ability to come down to student level	10	0	1	0	11
Percentage	91	0	9	0	100



Event Management – Akash Telgu

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	10	1	0	0	11
Percentage	91	9	0	6	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to draw participation	9	2	0	0	11
Percentage	82	18	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering queries of students	9	2	0	0	11
Percentage	82	18	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	9	2	0	0	11
Percentage	82	18	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to student level	9	2	0	0	11
Percentage	82	18	0	0	100



Event Management – Maria Fernandes

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	8	3	0	0	11
Percentage	73	27	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to draw participation	9	2	0	0	11
Percentage	82	18	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total

Answering queries of students	10	1	0	0	11
Percentage	91	9	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	9	2	0	0	11
Percentage	82	18	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total

Ability to come down to student level	11	0	0	0	11
Percentage	100	0	0	0	100



Legal Aspects of Business – Chetna Trehon

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	11	0	0	0	11
Percentage	100	0	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to draw participation	11	0	0	0	11
Percentage	100	0	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering queries of students	11	0	0	0	11
Percentage	100	0	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	11	0	0	0	11
Percentage	100	0	0	0	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to student level	11	0	0	0	11
Percentage	100	0	0	0	100



Murgaon Education Society's
ZUARINAGAR
GOA - 403 726.
COLLEGE OF ARTS & COMMERCE

Meenakshi Bawa

Dr. Meenakshi Bawa
IQAC Coordinator

Meenakshi Bawa

Dr. Meenakshi Bawa
Officiating Principal

M.E.S. COLLEGE OF ARTS AND COMMERCE, ZUARINAGAR-GOA

Faculty Feedback by students – BBA (Shipping & Logistics) Second Year 2018 batch

Academic year: 2019-20

Total Participants 17

1. Liner and Multimodal Transport by Motilal Pednekar

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	6	3	4	4	17
Percentage	35.35	17.65	23.5	23.5	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to Draw Participation	5	5	3	4	17
Percentage	29.425	29.425	17.65	23.5	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering Queries	6	5	1	5	17
Percentage	35.35	29.425	5.8	29.425	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	8	3	4	2	17
Percentage	47.09	17.65	23.5	11.76	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to students' level	8	3	3	3	17
Percentage	47.09	17.65	17.65	17.65	100



2. Maritime Law by Chetna Trehon

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	14	2	0	1	17
Percentage	82.35	11.76	0	5.88	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to Draw Participation	13	3	0	1	17
Percentage	76.47	17.65	0	5.88	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering Queries	14	2	0	1	17
Percentage	82.35	11.76	0	5.88	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	14	2	0	1	17
Percentage	82.35	11.76	0	5.88	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to students' level	12	4	0	1	5
Percentage	70.59	23.5	0	5.88	100



3. Export Import Procedure by Mr. Raghuveer Singh

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	12	3	2	1	17
Percentage	70.6	17.65	11.76	5.88	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to Draw Participation	8	4	3	2	17
Percentage	47.09	23.5	17.65	11.76	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering Queries	8	5	2	2	17
Percentage	47.09	29.425	11.76	11.76	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	8	3	4	2	5
Percentage	47.09	17.65	23.5	11.76	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to students' level	9	4	3	1	17
Percentage	52.94	23.5	17.65	5.88	100



4. Human Resource Management by Dr. Kirti Tyagi

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	2	10	4	1	17
Percentage	11.76	58.82	23.5	5.88	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to Draw Participation	2	8	5	2	17
Percentage	11.76	47.09	29.425	11.76	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering Queries	3	9	4	1	17
Percentage	17.65	52.94	23.5	5.88	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	2	8	3	4	17
Percentage	11.76	47.09	17.65	23.5	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to students' level	2	9	4	2	17
Percentage	11.76	52.94	23.5	11.76	100



5. Etiquette by Mrs. Shweta Pinto

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	13	2	1	1	17
Percentage	76.47	11.76	5.88	5.88	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to Draw Participation	12	2	2	1	17
Percentage	70.58	11.76	11.76	5.88	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering Queries	11	4	1	1	17
Percentage	64.70	23.5	5.88	5.88	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	12	2	2	1	17
Percentage	70.58	11.76	11.76	5.88	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to students' level	13	2	1	1	17
Percentage	76.47	11.76	5.88	5.88	100



6. Basics of Professional Photography by Mr. Sanket Shetye

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	14	2	0	1	17
Percentage	82.35	11.76	0	5.88	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to Draw Participation	13	2	1	1	17
Percentage	76.47	11.76	5.88	5.88	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering Queries	14	1	1	1	17
Percentage	82.35	5.88	5.88	5.88	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	14	2	0	1	17
Percentage	82.35	11.76	0	5.88	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to students' level	14	2	0	1	17
Percentage	82.35	11.76	0	5.88	100



7. **Economics of Shipping by Mrs. Zina Varugis**

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	5	6	2	4	17
Percentage	29.425	35.35	11.76	23.5	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to Draw Participation	4	7	3	3	17
Percentage	23.5	41.17	17.65	17.65	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering Queries	4	7	3	3	17
Percentage	23.5	41.17	17.65	17.65	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	4	7	3	3	17
Percentage	23.5	41.17	17.65	17.65	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to students' level	4	6	4	3	17
Percentage	23.5	35.35	23.5	17.65	100



8. Logistics Management 2, by Mrs. Chetna Trehon

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	15	1	0	1	17
Percentage	88.23	5.88	0	5.88	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to Draw Participation	14	2	0	1	17
Percentage	82.35	11.76	0	5.88	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering Queries	15	1	0	1	17
Percentage	88.23	5.88	0	5.88	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	14	2	0	1	17
Percentage	82.35	11.76	0	5.88	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to students' level	14	2	0	1	17
Percentage	82.35	11.76	0	5.88	100



9. Chartering by Mrs. Chetna Trehon

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	15	1	0	1	17
Percentage	88.23	5.88	0	5.88	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to Draw Participants	13	2	0	2	17
Percentage	76.47	11.76	0	11.76	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering Queries	15	1	0	1	17
Percentage	88.23	5.88	0	5.88	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	14	2	0	1	17
Percentage	82.35	11.76	0	5.88	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to students' level	14	2	0	1	17
Percentage	82.35	11.76	0	5.88	100



10. Negotiation Skills by Aparajita Menon

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	5	4	5	3	17
Percentage	29.425	23.5	29.425	17.65	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to Draw Participation	4	4	4	5	17
Percentage	23.5	23.5	23.5	29.425	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering Queries	4	4	5	4	17
Percentage	23.5	23.5	29.425	23.5	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	5	3	5	4	17
Percentage	29.425	17.65	29.425	23.5	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to students' level	5	3	5	4	17
Percentage	29.425	17.65	29.425	23.5	100



11. Warehouse Management by Mr. Amrish Ghode

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	6	3	3	5	17
Percentage	35.35	17.65	17.65	29.425	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to Draw Participation	2	6	4	5	17
Percentage	11.76	35.35	23.5	29.425	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering Queries	4	5	3	5	17
Percentage	23.5	29.425	17.65	29.425	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	4	3	5	5	17
Percentage	23.5	17.65	29.425	29.425	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to students' level	3	5	4	5	17
Percentage	17.65	29.425	23.5	29.425	100



12. Port Management by Mr. Motilal Pednekar

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	6	6	1	4	17
Percentage	35.35	35.35	5.88	23.5	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to Draw Participants	5	7	1	4	17
Percentage	29.425	41.17	5.88	23.5	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering Queries	6	7	1	3	17
Percentage	35.35	41.17	5.88	17.65	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	7	5	1	4	17
Percentage	41.17	29.425	5.88	23.5	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to students' level	7	5	1	4	17
Percentage	41.17	29.425	5.88	23.5	100



13. Music Appreciation by Mrs. Madhumita Mahatme

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	8	4	2	3	17
Percentage	47.09	23.5	11.76	17.65	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to Draw Participation	7	4	3	3	17
Percentage	41.17	23.5	17.65	17.65	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering Queries	7	4	3	3	17
Percentage	41.17	23.5	17.65	17.65	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	8	5	1	3	17
Percentage	47.09	29.425	5.88	17.65	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to students' level	8	3	3	3	17
Percentage	47.09	17.65	17.65	17.65	100



14. Supply Chain Management by Mr. Raghuveer Singh

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	10	3	3	1	17
Percentage	58.82	17.65	17.65	5.88	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to Draw Participation	7	3	4	3	17
Percentage	41.17	17.65	23.5	17.65	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering Queries	7	3	6	1	17
Percentage	41.17	17.65	35.35	5.88	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	7	2	4	4	17
Percentage	41.17	11.76	23.5	23.5	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to students' level	7	2	5	3	17
Percentage	41.17	11.76	29.425	17.65	100



15. Economics of Sea Transport by Mrs. Zina Varugis

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	5	4	4	4	17
Percentage	29.425	23.65	23.65	23.65	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to Draw Participation	5	5	3	4	17
Percentage	29.425	29.425	17.65	23.65	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering Queries	5	4	4	4	17
Percentage	35.35	23.5	23.5	23.5	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	5	4	3	5	17
Percentage	29.425	23.5	17.65	29.425	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to students' level	5	5	3	4	17
Percentage	29.425	29.425	17.65	23.5	100



16. Business Research Management by Dr. Kirti Tyagi

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	3	1	6	7	17
Percentage	17.65	5.88	35.35	41.17	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to Draw Participation	0	2	6	9	17
Percentage	0	11.76	35.35	52.94	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering Queries	2	0	6	9	17
Percentage	11.76	0	35.35	52.94	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	0	2	5	10	17
Percentage	0	11.76	29.425	58.82	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to students' level	2	1	5	9	17
Percentage	11.76	5.88	29.425	52.94	100



17. Interview Facing Skills by Mrs. Madhumita Mahatme

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	7	5	2	3	17
Percentage	41.17	29.425	11.76	17.65	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to Draw Participation	5	6	2	4	5
Percentage	29.425	35.35	11.76	23.5	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering Queries	6	2	5	4	17
Percentage	35.35	11.76	29.425	23.5	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	5	6	1	5	17
Percentage	29.425	35.35	5.88	29.425	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to students' level	7	3	4	3	5
Percentage	41.17	17.65	23.5	17.65	100



18. Inventory Management by Mr. Amrish Ghode

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	7	4	2	4	17
Percentage	41.17	23.5	11.76	23.5	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to Draw Participation	4	5	4	4	17
Percentage	23.5	29.425	23.5	23.5	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering Queries	5	4	4	4	17
Percentage	29.425	23.5	23.5	23.5	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	4	6	3	4	17
Percentage	23.5	35.35	17.65	23.5	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to students' level	4	5	4	4	17
Percentage	23.5	29.425	23.5	23.5	100



19. Management of Dangerous Goods by Mr. Motilal Pednekar

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	9	3	2	3	17
Percentage	52.94	17.64	11.76	17.64	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to Draw Participation	6	6	2	3	17
Percentage	35.35	35.35	11.76	17.65	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering Queries	7	5	2	3	17
Percentage	41.17	29.425	11.76	17.65	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	8	4	2	3	17
Percentage	47.09	23.5	29.425	17.65	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to students' level	7	4	3	3	17
Percentage	41.17	23.5	17.65	17.65	100



20. International Relations by Mr. Lele

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	10	4	2	1	17
Percentage	58.82	23.5	11.76	5.88	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to Draw Participation	6	7	3	1	17
Percentage	35.35	41.17	17.65	5.88	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering Queries	8	6	2	1	17
Percentage	47.09	35.35	11.76	5.88	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	7	5	3	2	17
Percentage	41.17	29.425	17.65	11.76	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to students' level	9	4	3	1	17
Percentage	52.94	23.5	17.65	5.88	100



21. Psychology by Mrs. Godeliva Gomes

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Subject Knowledge	4	2	6	5	17
Percentage	23.5	11.76	35.35	29.425	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to Draw Participation	2	1	9	5	17
Percentage	11.76	5.88	52.94	29.425	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Answering Queries	4	1	5	7	17
Percentage	23.5	5.88	29.425	41.17	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Association with students	2	0	10	5	17
Percentage	11.76	0	58.82	29.425	100



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Total
Ability to come down to students' level	3	3	5	6	17
Percentage	17.65	17.65	29.425	35.35	100



Meenakshi Bawa

Dr. Meenakshi Bawa
IQAC Coordinator

Meenakshi Bawa

Dr. Meenakshi Bawa
Officiating Principal